

Journal of Nuclear Materials 247 (1997) 11-16



Sweep gas chemistry effect on lithium transport from ceramic breeder blanket materials

M. Yamawaki^{a,*}, A. Suzuki^a, M. Yasumoto^b, K. Yamaguchi^a

^a Nuclear Engineering Research Laboratory, Faculty of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki-ken 319-11, Japan ^b Research Center for Nuclear Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Abstract

An 'atmosphere controllable high temperature mass spectrometer' was developed in order to investigate the effect of D_2 and/or D_2O on vaporization behavior of ceramic breeder blanket materials. The measurements were made on Li_4SiO_4 , $LiAlO_2$ and Li_2TiO_3 . The enhancement of Li vaporization and the formation of LiOD caused by D_2 and D_2O introduction, respectively, were confirmed quantitatively. From the resulting thermodynamic data, an discussion on Li loss from the breeder ceramic materials was made. The maximum allowable temperature with respect to lithium transport through the sweep gas in fusion reactor blanket was estimated. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

1. Introduction

Various lithium-containing ternary oxides are proposed as tritium breeder materials in blanket designs of the nuclear fusion reactors [1].

In design of the tritium breeder blanket, the vaporization behavior of the candidate ceramic breeder is one of its important properties. The partial pressures over Li2O [2-4,7], Li₄SiO₄ [8–10], LiAlO₂ [11,12], Li₂TiO₃ [13,20], and other lithium containing ternary oxides have been reported. An inert sweep gas system has been employed for the transportation/recovery of tritium from the surface of breeder materials, and mixing of hydrogen with the inert sweep gas was proposed in order to enhance the release of bred tritium. In such a system, it is important to know the effect of hydrogen and water vapor on vaporization behavior of breeder blanket materials. Recently, sweep gas chemistry of lithium oxide ceramics was studied on Li_4SiO_4 by Ihle et al. [14]. The authors have developed 'atmosphere controllable high temperature mass spectrometry' and studied the vaporization behavior of Li₄SiO₄ [17], LiAlO₂ [18] and Li₂TiO₃ [19] under the introduction of D_2 or D_2O .

2. Experimental

Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry is a very useful method to investigate vaporization behavior [15,16]. In order to make measurements under the condition of simulated sweep gas, a gas inlet tube was attached to the Knudsen cell [17], the orifice of which had a diameter of 0.5 mm. The conductance of the tube should be smaller than that of the orifice of the Knudsen cell so as not to destroy the equilibrium condition in the cell. The pressure of the simulated sweep gas in the Knudsen cell was determined from the conductance of the gas inlet tube and the initial pressure of the sweep gas. However, when the temperature of the Knudsen cell was increased, the top portion of the tube was heated so high that the apparent conductance of the tube appeared to have been reduced significantly. Therefore, it was not possible to maintain constant pressure in the cell in the temperature range higher than ~ 1350 K. In order to control the pressure in the cell, the gas inlet system was modified such as shown in Fig. 1. The system consists of a gas inlet Pt tube (0.6 mm diameter \times 220 mm length) in the mass spectrometer and a capillary tube (0.2 mm diameter \times 600 mm length) outside of the mass spectrometer. The conductance of the gas inlet tube was larger than that of the capillary tube so that the total conductance of the gas inlet system was determined by that of the capillary tube outside of the

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-3 3812 2111/7422; fax: +81-3 5684 0229; e-mail: yamawaki@quest.gen.u-tokyo.ac.jp.



Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the Knudsen cell with modified gas inlet system.

mass spectrometer. The temperature of the capillary tube was little affected by the heated Knudsen cell. Consequently, this modification allowed the pressure of the



Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the pressure of introduced D_2 , He and Ar.

sweep gas (D_2) in the cell to be controlled at much higher temperatures. Fig. 2 shows the pressures of the introduced gases (Ar, He and D₂) in the cell. In the case of D₂, which was very important in this study, the pressure (P_{D_2}) was kept to be constant in the temperature range < 1550 K. In the vapor pressure measurements, P_{D_2} can be considered to be constant within a few factor up to ~ 1750 K.

Ionizing electrons were accelerated to 30 eV. The ionization cross-sections of atoms were obtained from Mann's data [5] and those of molecules were calculated by a method of Kordis and Gingerich [6].

The powdered sample held in a small Pt crucible was installed in a Pt Knudsen cell. The D_2 and D_2O gases were used instead of the H_2 and H_2O gases because of the large background at mass 18.

3. Vaporization behavior of lithium oxide ceramics under condition of sweep gas introduction

3.1. Li₄SiO₄

Gas/solid equilibria for the Li₄SiO₄ system was investigated in the temperature range of 1223–1423 K. The Li₄SiO₄ sample was prepared by Mitsubishi Material and supplied to the authors by courtesy of the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute. In this experiment, four runs were performed (run0–run3). Prior to each run, except for run3, the sample was kept in vacuum for 4 h at 723 K for outgassing. The system was calibrated by measuring the intensity of ¹⁰⁷Ag⁺ ion.

In run0, no gas was introduced, and Li was observed as the primary vapor species in the temperature range described above. In run1 and run2, D_2 and D_2O were introduced, respectively. The detected vapor species were



Fig. 3. Partial pressures in the system $D_2 / Li_4 SiO_4 [17]$.

Li, D_2 and D_2O in run1, while the enhancement of Li vaporization was confirmed from comparison between the results of run0 and run1. The vapor pressure as a function of temperature in run1 is shown in Fig. 3. In run2, Li, LiOD, D_2 and D_2O were detected. The formation of LiOD from the reaction between D_2O and Li_4SiO_4 was observed. The reactions assumed to take place in the Li₄SiO₄- D_2 , D_2O systems are as follows:

$$Li_4SiO_4(s) = 2Li(g) + \frac{1}{2}O_2(g) + Li_2SiO_3(s),$$
 (1)

$$Li_4SiO_4(s) + D_2O(g) = 2LiOD(g) + Li_2SiO_3(g).$$
(2)

The equilibrium constants for Eqs. (1) and (2), K_A and K_B , could be calculated from the experimental results of run1 and run2, under the assumption that the activities of the condensed phases are unity or cancel each other. The calculated K_A and K_B differed run by run. This difference was attributed to be non-stoichiometry of Li₄SiO_{4-x}, such as Li₄SiO_{4-x}, after speculation by Ihle et al. [14]. They proposed the non-stoichiometric composition of Li₄SiO_{4-x} to be formed by the following reaction:

$$\operatorname{Li}_{4}\operatorname{SiO}_{4} + x\operatorname{D}_{2} \rightleftharpoons x\operatorname{D}_{2}\operatorname{O} + \operatorname{Li}_{4}\operatorname{SiO}_{4-x}.$$
(3)

In order to discuss the potential for non-stoichiometry, run3 was carried out with D_2 introduced. The sample in run3 had been exposed to D_2O in run2, while the baking treatment was not performed prior to run3. Accordingly, the Li₄SiO₄ sample was considered to have attained a more oxidized state in run3 than in run1. This assumption was supported by comparing the oxygen partial pressure in each run. The relative order of x in each run can be expressed according to the value of oxygen partial pressure as follows:

$$x(\operatorname{run1}) > x(\operatorname{run3}) > x(\operatorname{run2}). \tag{4}$$

From the data, K_A and K_B , become smaller as x becomes larger on taking into account of Eq. (3), x of each run can



| | 170 1 | , | | |
|------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | ΔH_{298}° (Eq. (5)) | ΔH_{298}^{o} (Eq. (6)) | | |
| run4 | 2044.3±81.0 | | | |
| run5 | 2010.3 ± 122.7 | 925.3 ± 137.6 | | |
| run6 | 2028.2 ± 243.3 | 935.4 ± 164.1 | | |
| - | | | | |

be estimated to follow the order shown in Eq. (4). Actually, K_A and K_B became smaller as Li_4SiO_4 was conditioned to a more reduced state.

3.2. LiAlO₂

For measurement of vapor pressures in the LiAlO₂- D_2-D_2O system, a higher temperature range, such as \sim 1700 K, needed to be attained. The measurement of this system was actually carried out in the temperature range of 1573-1773 K. Three measurements (run4-run6) were made. The conventional ¹⁰⁷Ag⁺ method was used for the calibration of the mass spectrometer. Run4 was carried out with no gas introduction, and Li and O2 were detected as the vapor species. The temperature dependence of these species had good agreement with those reported by Ikeda et al. [12]. In run5, D₂ was introduced, and Li, LiOD, O₂, D₂ and D₂O gaseous species were observed. The enhancement of Li vaporization was observed, which can be explained from the fact that the partial pressure of O_2 was smaller and the partial pressure of Li was larger in run5 than in run4 (Fig. 4). In run6, D₂O at an average partial pressure of 2.0×10^{-2} Pa, was introduced. The observed gaseous species were Li, LiOD, O2 and D2O; the amount of D_2 was too small to be detected. In LiAlO₂-D₂-D₂O



Fig. 4. Partial pressures in the system D₂ /LiAlO₂ [18].



Fig. 5. Partial pressures in the system $D_2 / Li_2 TiO_3$ [19].

Table 2 3rd law ΔH_{298}° (Eq. (7)) and ΔH_{298}° (Eq. (8)) (kJ/mol) (1500 K) [19]

| | ΔH_{298}° (Eq. (7)) | ΔH_{298}^{o} (Eq. (8)) | |
|------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| run8 | 975.0 ± 43.5 | 456±55.1 | |
| run9 | 1026.8 ± 48.1 | 442.8 ± 91.7 | |
| Literature | 1050.6 ± 1.5 | 505.17 ± 5.7 | |

system, the equilibrium was considered to be established according to the following reactions:

$$5\text{LiAlO}_{2}(s) = 4\text{Li}(g) + O_{2}(g) + \text{LiAl}_{5}O_{8}(s),$$
 (5)

$$5\text{LiAlO}_2(s) + 2\text{D}_2\text{O}(g) = 4\text{LiOD}(g) + \text{LiAl}_5\text{O}_8(s).$$
(6)

Any significant differences in either the equilibrium constants or the standard enthalpies, ΔH_{298}^{o} , of the Eqs. (5) and (6) were not recognized among the runs. The third law ΔH_{298}^{o} of Eqs. (5) and (6) are tabulated in Table 1. The differences of ΔH_{298}^{o} among the three runs are smaller than the stated errors.

3.3. Li₂TiO₃

The vapor pressures for the $\text{Li}_2\text{TiO}_3-\text{D}_2-\text{D}_2\text{O}$ system were measured in the temperature range of 1373–1673 K. The sample of Li_2TiO_3 was supplied by courtesy of Dr. Roux of Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique. Three runs, run7 with no gas introduced, run8 with D₂ introduced, and run9 with D₂O introduced, were performed. In run7, Li and Li₂O were detected. In run8 and run9, Li, LiOD, Li₂O, LiO, D₂O and D₂ were detected. Fig. 5 shows the partial pressures as a function of inverse temperature of the species detected in run8. The enhancement of Li vaporization due to D₂ introduction and the formation of LiOD caused by D₂O introduction were confirmed from the results of run8 and run9, respectively. The following reactions were considered to take part in the system:

$$\text{Li}_{2}\text{TiO}_{3}(s) = 2\text{Li}(g) + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_{2}(g) + \text{TiO}_{2}(s),$$
 (7)

$$\operatorname{Li}_{2}\operatorname{TiO}_{3}(s) + \operatorname{D}_{2}\operatorname{O}(g) = 2\operatorname{LiOD}(g) + \operatorname{TiO}_{2}(s), \qquad (8)$$

$$\text{Li}_2 O(g) = 2\text{Li}(g) + \frac{1}{2}O_2(g).$$
 (9)

In the Li₂O-TiO₂ system, four compounds, Li₄TiO₄, Li₂TiO₃, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ and Li₂Ti₃O₇, are known [20]. In this study, the measurements were performed in the temperature range of 1373 K-1573 K, while Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ is stable only below 1288 K. These facts mean that Li₂TiO₃ was vaporized as Li and O₂, making Li₂TiO₃ sample to be TiO₂ rich. The third law ΔH° (298) for the Eqs. (7) and (8) were calculated and tabulated in Table 2. The equilibrium constants of Eqs. (7) and (8) were obtained from the experimental results tabulated in Table 3, and the value of Gibbs free energy function (fef) were obtained from JANAF Thermochemical Tables [21]. The differences of ΔH° (298) among the runs were smaller than the stated errors.

4. Discussion on Li-loss

In the blanket designs, the sum of the partial pressures of Li-containing species (P_{Li}^{total}) has been recommended to be 0.01 Pa [14] as the maximum pressure with respect to Li transport through the sweep gas. We estimated the temperature at which P_{Li}^{total} reaches 0.01 Pa. We defined T_{max} as follows:

$$P_{\rm Li}^{\rm total}({\rm at} \ T_{\rm max}) = 0.01 \ ({\rm Pa}),$$
 (10)

$$P_{\text{Li}}^{\text{total}} = \sum P_i$$
, (*i*: Li containing species). (11)

Li containing species were Li and LiOD in the results for Li_4SiO_4 and LiAlO_2 , and Li, LiOD, Li_2O and LiO in the results for Li_2TiO_3 . P_i can be calculated from the equilibrium constants of Eqs. (1), (2), (5)–(8), as well as $D_2 + 1/2O_2 = D_2\text{O}$ when P_{D_2} and P_{D_2O} are given. Fig. 6(a)–(c) show T_{max} calculated for Li_4SiO_4 , LiAlO₂ and Li_2TiO_3 from the experimental results. And Fig. 6(d) shows T_{max}

Table 3 The temperature dependence of the partial pressures of detected species in run8 and run9. log $P_i = a \times 1000/T + b$ [19]

| | run8 | | run9 | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| | a | b | a | b | |
| log P _{Li} | -14.31 ± 1.13 | 9.02 ± 0.75 | -16.97 ± 0.75 | 10.35 ± 0.49 | |
| $\log P_{\rm LiOD}$ | -12.01 ± 1.36 | 7.31 ± 0.93 | -9.01 ± 1.65 | 5.26 ± 1.06 | |
| $\log P_{\rm LipO}$ | -36.58 ± 5.20 | 20.31 ± 3.19 | -28.26 ± 3.61 | 15.17 ± 2.21 | |
| $\log P_{\rm LiO}$ | -21.77 ± 1.24 | 10.89 ± 0.77 | -27.88 ± 7.75 | 14.56 ± 4.76 | |
| $\log P_{\rm D_2O}$ | 4.36 ± 2.14 | -3.20 ± 1.42 | 0.639 ± 0.46 | -4.88 ± 3.14 | |
| $\log P_{D_2}$ | 5.44 ± 2.21 | -3.98 ± 1.47 | $0.149 \pm .0.067$ | -1.87 ± 0.46 | |



Fig. 6. Temperature at which the sum of the partial pressure of Li-containing species reaches 0.01 Pa. (a) Li_4SiO_4 , (b) $LiAIO_2$, (c) Li_2TiO_3 , (d) Li_2O .

for Li₂O calculated from literature [21]. The range of P_{D_2} and P_{D_2O} are [1, 1000 Pa] and [0.01, 100 Pa], respectively. Fig. 7 shows T_{max} at $P_{D_2} = 100$ Pa (i.e., 0.1%). It was considered that when 0.1% hydrogen is mixed to inert sweep gas, Li₂TiO₃ is compatible to Li₄SiO₄ with respect to Li loss.

Under neutron irradiation, transmutation of Li takes part in as the competitive process with vaporization. By



this process, Li loss is considered to be suppressed and $T_{\rm max}$ may be higher than those estimated here. Moreover, some of the vaporized LiOH are considered to be LiOT under irradiation. The distribution of tritium to several chemical forms was calculated in the previous study [22].

5. Summary

A Knudsen effusion mass spectrometer which is capable of introducing simulated sweep gases into a Knudsen cell has been established, and a gas inlet system has been incorporated so as to allow the pressure of the introduced gas in the Knudsen cell to be controlled at a higher temperature (up to 1750 K). By using the 'atmosphere controlled high temperature mass spectrometer', so called here, the vaporization behavior of Li_4SiO_4 , $LiAIO_2$ and Li_2TiO_3 under the introduction of D_2 or D_2O were studied. From the resulting thermodynamic data, the maximum allowable temperatures with respect to Li transport through the sweep gas in the blanket of fusion reactors were estimated.

References

- N. Roux, C.E. Johnson, K. Noda, J. Nucl. Mater. 191–194 (1992) 15.
- [2] H. Kudo, C.H. Wu, H.R. Ihle, J. Nucl. Mater. 78 (1978) 380.

- [3] C.H. Wu, H. Kudo, H.R. Ihle, J. Chem. Phys. 70 (1979) 1815.
- [4] Y. Ikeda, H. Ito, G. Matsumoto, S. Nasu, Mass Spectrosc. 27 (1979) 263.
- [5] J.B. Mann, J. Chem. Phys. 40 (1964) 1632.
- [6] J. Kordis, K.A. Gingerich, J. Chem. Phys. 58 (1973) 5141.
- [7] H. Kimura, M. Asano, K. Kubo, J. Nucl. Mater. 92 (1980) 221.
- [8] H. Nakagawa, M. Asano, K. Kubo, J. Nucl. Mater. 102 (1981) 292.
- [9] Y. Ikeda, M. Tamaki, G. Matsumoto, K. Amioka, T. Mizuno, Spectochim. Acta 37B (1982) 647.
- [10] R.-D. Penzhorn, H.R. Ihle, P. Schuster, J. Nucl. Mater. 155 (1988) 471.
- [11] Y. Ikeda, H. Ito, G. Matsumoto, H. Hayashi, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 17 (1980) 650.
- [12] Y. Ikeda, H. Ito, G. Matsumoto, J. Nucl. Mater. 97 (1981) 47.
- [13] M. Asano, H. Nakagawa, J. Nucl. Mater. 161 (1989) 190.
- [14] H.R. Ihle, R.-D. Penzhorn, P. Schuster, SOFT Conf, Rome, Sept. 1992.

- [15] M. Yamawaki, T. Oka, M. Yasumoto, H. Sakurai, J. Nucl. Mater. 201 (1993) 257.
- [16] M. Yamawaki, M. Yasumoto, C. Nakano, M. Kanno, High Temp. – High Press. 14 (1982) 423.
- [17] M. Yamawaki, A. Suzuki, M. Yasumoto, K. Yamaguchi, J. Nucl. Mater. 223 (1995) 80.
- [18] A. Suzuki, M. Yamawaki, M. Yasumoto, K. Yamaguchi, J. Nucl. Mater. 233–237 (1996) 1452.
- [19] A. Suzuki, M. Yamawaki, M. Yasumoto, K. Yamaguchi, presented at Int. Workshop on Interfacial Effects in Quantum Engineering Systems, IEQES-96, Mito, Japan, Aug. 1996, J. Nucl. Mater. 248 (1997) in press.
- [20] H. Nakagawa, M. Asano, K. Kubo, J. Nucl. Mater. 110 (1982) 158.
- [21] M.W. Chase Jr., C.A. Davies, J.R. Downey Jr., D.J. Fruip, R.A. McDonald, A.N. Syverud, J. Chem. Ref. Data 14 (1) (1985) 1228.
- [22] A. Asano, Y. Kato, T. Harada, Y. Mizutani, M. Yamawaki, K. Yamaguchi, Proc. Int. Workshop on Ceramic Breeder Blanket Interactions, 1993, p. 297.